#### Analytics in Higher Education: Placing Unisa within the changing landscape of evidencebased decision making

**Glen Barnes, University of South Africa** (with contributions by Dr Liz Archer)

HEIR 2015 - Edinburgh









- +140 years old, 387000 formal students, majority SA, 5% international, mainly from two of the nine provinces
- 1000 qualifications, 3400 modules, >25000 enrolments
- 4800 establishment staff (HC), 35% academic, 3000 tutors





#### Context

- Instrumental case study:
  - Insight into the institutional processes, challenges and opportunities for provisioning and using evidence in decision making.
- Participants:
  - Executive leadership
  - Senior leadership
  - Middle leadership
  - Analysts and researchers



## Analytics research in HE

- Jaqueline Bichsel, 2012
  - Survey of a number of institutions with membership of EDUCAUSE and AIR
  - 339 distinct respondents
  - Looked at:
    - Priority of analytics
    - Targets and benefits
    - Perceived benefits
    - Concerns on the growing use
    - What is in place







(Bichsel, 2012)

Survey respondents were asked how they use data in various functional areas.

Only three areas (enrolment management, finance and budgeting and student progress) have the use of analytics at the highest levels (proactive and predictive capabilities).

Interesting to note that student learning, and progress of strategy are midway on the list.

Research administration, faculty teaching performance, faculty research performance are way low on the results, considering these underpin the core business of HE.

Also interesting are the areas with NO DATA.



What is in place for analytics

#### (Bichsel, 2012)



university of south africa





#### Since 2008 ...

- Development in a number of analytic areas
  - Descriptive capability
  - Predictive capability
  - Data integration and broadening of the scope
  - Move towards learning analytics
- Move towards institutional performance
  - Quality assurance metrics
  - Monitoring and evaluation
  - Scorecards
    - Benchmarking, target setting & monitoring



#### Development areas ...

- Dimension:
  - Qualification view
  - Module / Course view
  - Student view
- Drill-down and drill-through:
  - Organisational structure
  - Filtering (slice & dice)
- Each area:
  - Detail data lists, structured user interactive reports, aggregated dashboards



#### **Classical design approach**







Qualification Planning, Risk & Quality Management (DIA-QM ver 2.4 - rel 26.06.2015)

Administrator (4) -

User 🗸

Graphic Reports - Tabular Reports -

Home

User: barnegr (1) Level: 4 DBase: 2 30 August, 2015

#### **Qualification Management Home**

#### 🗵 🖬 🖬 🗃 📑 📑



#### 97942 AIM EQU PRE PLN PRO ATT QAD RSK BIO GEO FIN FRA

Col: 9 Cat: 41 Qual: 98615 Year: 2015 Replaces 97942

#### AIM EQU PRE PLN PRO ATT QAD RSK GEO FIN FRA

=



Province

2015 Cohort by ' Province '

Ord	Province	Cnt	(%)
1	KWAZULU NATAL	14 955	45,4%
2	GAUTENG	8 133	24,7%
3	WESTERN CAPE	2 241	6,8%
4	NORTH WEST	1 823	5,5%
5	MPUMALANGA	1 782	5,4%
6	EASTERN CAPE	1 533	4,7%
7	LIMPOPO	1 489	4,5%
8	FREE STATE	688	2,1%
9	NORTHERN CAPE	234	0,7%
10	Unknown	79	0,2%
	TOTAL	32 957	

#### 2015 Cohort by ' Province ' as Counts



(Qual\_College\_Code=9) (Qual\_Category\_Code=41) ((Qualification\_Code=98615) OR (Qualification\_Code IN(97942/))) (Registration\_Status\_Code IN(AP', 'CA', 'RG', 'TP', 'TV))





Cohort Enrolments for Province = ' KWAZULU NATAL ' (Cnt)



(Qual\_College\_Code=9) (Qual\_Category\_Code=41) ((Qualification\_Code=98615') OR (Qualification\_Code IN(97942'))) (Registration\_Status\_Code IN(AP',CA',RG',TP',TN')) (Province=KWAZULU NATAL')





(Qual\_College\_Code=9) (Qual\_Category\_Code=41) ((Qualification\_Code='96615') OR (Qualification\_Code IN(97942'))) (Registration\_Status\_Code IN(AP:/CA/RG',TP',TN')) (Province='GAUTENG')

#### Evidence on offer ...

Dimension	Areas	Reports	Items
Qualification	14	112	2078
Module / Course	14	198	1730
Student	6	147	1535
Total		457	5343



UNISA University of south africa

## Case Study: User Involvement

- Test the user:
  - Uptake
  - Engagement
  - Understanding
  - Implementation
- Decision stage:
  - Prior during preparation
  - During the process

Relate these back to Bichsel...



### Case Study: Enrolment management

- Picture this ...
  - College planning workshop
  - VP: Institutional development, ED Academic Planning, ED College, Deans, Deputy Deans, HOSs, HODs, ED DSPQA, DIA, Quality Consultants, Analysts
  - Purpose setting enrolment targets for each qualification for 2016 cohort, discussion on practicality / feasibility
  - Initial discussions and engagement put proposals & draft targets on the table
  - \* until now no management of student numbers or targets



# Case Study: Higher Cert. ABET (98615)

									➡
Qual	New Qualification Name	Strategy	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Code		Strategy	2010	2011	2012	2015	2014	2015	2010
98615	HIGHER CERTIFICATE IN ADULT BASIC EDUCATION AND	Growth strategy	2709	3721	5735	11318	10644	9307	<mark>13084</mark>
98999	MASTER OF EDUCATION in Open and Distance Learning	Sustainable strategy				13	12	19	15
99001	DIPLOMA in Adult Basic Education and Training	Declining strategy	4460	4608	3314	2206	1725	1316	1006
0264X	ADVANCED CERTIFICATE IN EDUCATION (FOUNDATION	Phased out	106	13	4	1			0
0328X	ADVANCED CERTIFICATE IN EDUCATION: TOURISM EDU	Phased out	37						
0376X	ENDORSEMENT: SPECIALISATION IN INCLUSIVE EDUCAT	Phased out	14	25	21				0
2032X	DIPLOMA IN EDUCATION (SECONDARY PHASE)	Phased out	1						
9501X	HONOURS BACHELOR OF EDUCATION - WITH SPECIALIS	Sustainable strategy	365	403	355	349	203	155	362
05312	Honours Bachelor of Education	Declining strategy	503	479	394	458	296	244	151



#### Case Study: Key questions ...

Management question	Viewpoint
What is the pool of interest?	Attrition view (ATT)
What is the potential uptake?	(ATT)
What are the inflows: intake and first-time intake?	Inflow/outflow planning (PLN)
What are the outflows: dropouts and graduates?	(PLN)
How do previous versions contribute to enrolments and graduates?	Equivalent view (EQU)
How many provisional enrolments to achieve the statutory target and what is the workload?	(PLN)
What is the race, gender, matric score, age distribution?	Cohort biographical (BIO)
What is the spatial spread of these students?	Cohort geographical (GEO)
What are the barriers to graduation?	Risk management (RSK)
What modules are taken & how many are "at risk"?	Academic structure (AIM)
Where do these students go on completion?	

## Case Study: User Results

- Executive & senior management
  - Significant interest in the analytics
  - Fair knowledge at the higher level
- Middle management
  - Inadequate business knowledge
  - Poor engagement with the data
- Analysts & researchers
  - Required to explain and integrate the data
  - Relied upon to express the business in the data

\* Similar to the results of Bichsel ...



### Case Study: Analytic Results

- Adequate provision of data / analytics
  - Sufficient dashboard design and drill-down / drill-through
  - Adequate predictive & descriptive analytics
  - Adequate timely / real-time information provided
  - Little questioning the integrity of the data
- Inadequate preparation using all available data
  - Despite availability of the data little integrated preparation
  - More detailed engagement in real-time
- Difficulty in engaging with all the data / analytics
  - Too much noise not enough message
  - Difficulty in interpreting some of the data / analytics
  - Disjuncture between decision makers and college operations
- The role of the 'data scientist' / analyst
  - Much reliance on the analyst to contextualise the analytics
  - Need to 'package' some of the analytics differently





UNIS

- Re-think the interaction with the audience
  - Identify 'super users' or 'key users' or 'champions'
- Think differently about 'packaging'
  Simplify without compromising complexity
- Research on dashboard design
  - Importance of memorability
  - Learn from other environments

## The Data Sphere

- Dashboard design
  - The use of 'itineraries'
  - Identify 'connected nodes'
  - Contained within a data sphere
- User interaction
  - Process of engagement
  - Visualisation facilities
  - Training

BI is more about process and people than tools and data ...

# Data Sphere



# People and process

- User interaction
  - Process of engagement
  - Visualisation facilities
  - Training

BI is more about process and people than tools and data ...



The future Senate / Mancom chambers ...





Thank you ...



#### Conclusions...

- Sufficient data
  - Accurate, reliable and in real time
- Development and design
  - Comprehensive, detailed, longitudinal
  - Too much, too complex, needs interpretation
- Inadequate user engagement
  - During preparation and process phases
- Varied decision-maker interest/involvement
  - High at executive level
  - Low at operational level

